

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,690	02/17/2004	Katsushi Habu	2630.3170.001 (616SC)	2032
23399 75	590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE, P.C.			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
P O BOX 4390	P O BOX 4390 TROY, MI 48099-4390		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TRO 1, MI 46077-4370			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		h				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/780,690	HABU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	ovember 2005.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)				

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of species A (Figure 1) in the reply filed on November

9, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all species can be examined

without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because there are apparently major

differences between the various species which would require different searches.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

2. Upon further review, the drawings filed on February 17, 2004 are objected to as failing to

comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s)

mentioned in the description: "200". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR

1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any

amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet

submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either

"Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not

accepted by the examiner, the applicants will be notified and informed of any required corrective

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regards

as the invention. More specifically, it is suggested that the word "type" on the first line of the

claims be deleted in order to remove any possible ambiguities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point

out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a

Art Unit: 1724

later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 1, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Payne. Payne shows a float bowl carburetor with a body 12, fuel-air mixing passage 14, fuel chamber 22, fuel bowl 16, fuel supply pipe 40, fuel passage 64, fuel passage outlet 61 aligned with the fuel supply pipe, and a priming system 70, 72, and 76 as claimed (35 USC 102b). It would appear that Payne may not explicitly refer to reference numeral 61 as an outlet. Instead Payne refers to it as a primer jet (note col. 2, lines 7-10). However, to operate as a jet means that fuel is ejected through an opening at the jet extremity. Consequently, it is inherent or at least would have been readily obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (35 USC 103a) that Payne's priming jet 61 is an outlet.
- 8. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne in view of Guntly et al. Payne, as described above in paragraph 7, discloses a float bowl carburetor substantially as claimed. Apparently, Payne may not explicitly disclose a bolt defining a portion of the fuel passage. In any case, Guntly et al (note ref. num. 28, 32, 34, Figure 1, and col. 4, line 67 to col. 5, line 11) teach the well-known use of a bolt defining part of the fuel passage in a float bowl carburetor for the purpose of facilitating both sealing and fuel flow. For these same reasons, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a such a bolt in the Payne float bowl carburetor as taught by Guntly et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,690 Page 5

Art Unit: 1724

9. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne in

view of Takano et al. Payne, as described above in paragraph 7, discloses a float bowl carburetor

substantially as claimed with the apparent exception of a perforated fuel supply pipe. However,

Takano et al (note Figure 1) teach the well-known use of a perforated fuel supply pipe 28 (note

col. 4, line 24 to col. 5, line 12) in a float bowl carburetor in order to facilitate fuel flow and for

this same reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ such an

expedient in the Payne carburetor.

10. Claims 2, 3, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

prior art as applied to claims 1 or 10 in paragraphs 7 or 9 respectively above, and further in view

of Walbro Far East. The prior art, as described above in either one of paragraphs 7 or 9,

discloses a float bowl carburetor substantially as claimed with the apparent exception of a main

jet downstream from the fuel passage outlet. In any case, Walbro Far East (note ref. num. 14,

15) teaches the well-known use of a main jet downstream of the fuel passage outlet in a float

bowl carburetor for the purpose of facilitating starting. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to employ a main jet downstream of the fuel passage outlet in either one

of the prior art float bowl carburetors in order to facilitate starting as taught by Walbro Far East.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,690

Art Unit: 1724

12.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicants' reply must either comply with

Page 6

all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37

CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants'

disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other carburetors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa December 6, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Richard L. Chiesa

ART UNIT 1724

Dec. 6, 2005